



## Agile CoCreation of Robots for Ageing

### Deliverable 7.1 Needs study evaluation

Project: ACCRA  
Project Number: 738251  
Deliverable: D7.1  
Title: Needs study evaluation  
Version: V1.0  
Date: 22/12/2017  
Confidentiality: Public / Consortium  
Authors:  
Dr. Marleen de Mul (EUR)  
Dr. Isabelle Fabbricotti (EUR)  
Bahareh Beyk MSc (EUR)  
Michael Tsui MSc (EUR)  
Eloïse Sengès (Dauphine)  
*With input from all other  
partners and third parties*



Funded by



## Table of Contents

<b>DOCUMENT HISTORY .....</b>	ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.
<b>LIST OF FIGURES.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>THE ROLE OF THIS DELIVERABLE IN THE ACCRA METHODOLOGY.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>1 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.
<b>2 BACKGROUND .....</b>	ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.
<b>2.1 USE CASES .....</b>	ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.
<b>2.2 ROBOTS .....</b>	ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.
<b>3 METHODS.....</b>	ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.
<b>3.1 NEEDS STUDY DESIGN .....</b>	ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.
3.1.1 Qualitative research: interviews.....	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
3.1.2 Questionnaire for pre-assessment of pilot context	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
3.1.3 Focus group on lessons learned .....	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
<b>3.2 RECRUITMENT FOR THE INTERVIEWS .....</b>	ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.
3.2.1 Recruitment criteria.....	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
3.2.2 Recruitment process and origin of the respondents	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
3.2.3 Ethical considerations .....	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
<b>3.3 DATA COLLECTION .....</b>	ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.
3.3.1 Interviews.....	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
3.3.2 Pre-assessment.....	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
3.3.3 Focus group .....	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
<b>3.4 DATA ANALYSIS.....</b>	ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.
3.4.1 Interviews .....	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
3.4.2 Pre-assessment.....	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
3.4.3 Focus group .....	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
<b>4 RESULTS .....</b>	ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.
<b>4.1 INTERVIEWS.....</b>	ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.
4.1.1 Profile of the respondents .....	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.1.2 Needs of the elderly.....	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.1.3 Comparative analysis .....	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.1.4 Cross-country analysis .....	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.1.5 Perception of robots .....	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.1.6 Cross-country analysis .....	<b>Erreux ! Signet non défini.</b>
<b>4.2 CONTEXT OF PILOT SITES.....</b>	ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.

4.2.1	France .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.2.1.1	Organizational context of pilot .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.2.1.2	Health and social care system .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.2.1.3	Public opinion about the ageing society and robotics	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.2.2	Netherlands .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.2.2.1	Organizational context of pilot .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.2.2.2	Health and social care system .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.2.2.3	Public opinion about the ageing society and robotics	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.2.2.4	Legal and ethical issues around robotics .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.2.3	Italy .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.2.3.1	Organizational context of pilot .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.2.3.2	Health and social care system .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.2.3.3	Public opinion about the ageing society and robotics	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.2.3.4	Legal and ethical issues around robotics .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.2.4	Japan .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.2.4.1	Organizational context of pilot .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.2.4.2	Health and social care system .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
4.2.5	Public opinion about the ageing society and robotics	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
	4.2.5.1 Legal and ethical issues around robotics .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>

## **5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION ..... ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.**

5.1	DISCUSSION OF METHODOLOGY.....	<b>ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.</b>
5.2	CONCLUSION.....	<b>ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.</b>

## **6 REFERENCES ..... ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.**

### **APPENDIX: TOPIC LIST INTERVIEWS..... ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.**

DAILY LIFE .....	<b>ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.</b>
Interview guide for elderly.....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
Interview guide for formal caregivers .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
Interview guide for informal caregivers .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>

MOBILITY INTERVIEW GUIDE .....	<b>ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.</b>
Interview guide for elderly.....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
Interview guide for formal caregiver.....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
Interview guide for informal caregiver.....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>

CONVERSATION INTERVIEW GUIDE .....	<b>ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.</b>
Interview guide for elderly.....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>
Interview guide for caregivers .....	<b>Erreurs ! Signet non défini.</b>

### **APPENDIX: QUESTIONNAIRE PRE-ASSESSMENT .... ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.**

### **APPENDIX TOPIC LIST FOCUS GROUP ..... ERREUR ! SIGNET NON DEFINI.**

## List of Figures

- Figure 1 ACCRA needs study results: cross-use case analysis.....**Erreur ! Signet non défini.**  
Figure 2 Domains of contextual pre-assessment .....**Erreur ! Signet non défini.**

## List of Tables

- Table 1 Use cases per country.....**Erreur ! Signet non défini.**  
Table 2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria.....**Erreur ! Signet non défini.**  
Table 3 Number of participants per use case and per country .....**Erreur ! Signet non défini.**  
Table 4 Profile of the respondents .....**Erreur ! Signet non défini.**  
Table 5 ACCRA needs study results .....**Erreur ! Signet non défini.**  
Table 6 Attitude towards robots .....**Erreur ! Signet non défini.**  
Table 7 Factors the positively or negatively affect robot acceptance ...**Erreur ! Signet non défini.**

## Abbreviations and Definitions

Abbreviation	Definition
ICT	Information and Communication Technologies
ACCRA	Agile CoCreation of Robots for Ageing

## Executive Summary

With the ever increasing elderly population, different stakeholders have been searching for new methods to address the growing elderly population and their respective healthcare needs. A consequence of people becoming older is that progressively more people are experiencing (medical) issues or are suffering from multitude of disabilities due to old age. Reducing healthcare costs while providing efficient and effective care for the elderly is a difficult task. To support elderly and to improve their quality of life, it has been proposed that robotic solutions can contribute.

In the ACCRA project, funded by Horizon 2020 two socially assistive robots are being developed through a co-creation process in which robotics engineers, elderly, formal caregivers, informal caregivers and social scientists work together to optimally adapt the robots to the needs of elderly. The project consists of four phases: needs study, co-creation, experimentation and sustainability. In this deliverable we report on the results of the first phase, the needs study. The central research question for the needs study phase was: *What kind of problems do the elderly experience in their daily life and what are the perceptions about socially assistive robots?*

We performed empirical, qualitative research in four countries to answer this question, and have a baseline for the next phase of the ACCRA project. To bring more focus to the research, we explored three use cases in which robotics could have added value for older persons: mobility support, support with general daily activities, and support with socialisation and conversation. These three use cases were selected already in the phase of drafting the research grant proposal, because the robots selected for ACCRA were able to cover these use cases.

The needs study consisted of three parts. Firstly, we interviewed elderly, formal and informal caregivers in four countries. Secondly, we collected data about the contextual factors in each country through a questionnaire. Thirdly, we discussed the lessons learned during this phase of the ACCRA project in a focus group. In total, interviews were performed with 57 elderly, 57 formal caregivers and 33 informal caregivers.

The needs expressed during the interviews could be clustered in these groups: safety needs, companionship needs, communication needs, well-being needs, entertainment needs, forgetfulness/reminder needs, physical mobility needs, other physical needs, outdoor needs, perform medical tasks and some needs specific for caregivers. Overall, the elderly population we interviewed, have a positive perception of the robots and they seem to accept them. However, the balance between positive, neutral and negative differs between the countries. For example, they appreciate the feeling of safety and companionship a robot can bring, but they have concerns about privacy, ease of use and cost.

The contextual factors need further analysis in the context of the work on sustainability (phase 4 of the project), but it is already clear that in all countries robotics in elderly care is a topic discussed by policy makers and the general public. The differences between the countries with regard to their health system, affect the decision to invest in robots and their business models around it: who should pay; the elderly or a care organization?

A lesson learned from the needs study phase is that such projects could be biased because elderly with a prior interest in technology might be over-represented.

## The role of this deliverable in the ACCRA methodology

This deliverable matches Task 7.1 from the project plan and brings together the results of the needs study phase by integrating the results for the three use cases and investigating the contexts of the pilot sites.

***FOR MORE INFORMATION, PLEASE CONTACT THE  
COORDINATOR OF THE PROJECT***

*Trialog*

*Antonio Kung*

[Antonio.kung@trialog.com](mailto:Antonio.kung@trialog.com)

*Kyoto University*

*Yasuo Okabe*

[okabe@i.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:okabe@i.kyoto-u.ac.jp)